THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

W.P.No.26918 of 1998
Date: 23-07-2007

Between:
P.Ammulu

Petitioner

And

The Managing Director, A.P.Scheduled Castes Cooperative Finance Corporation, Masabtank,Hyderabad and others

Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

W.P.No.26918 of 1998

ORDER:

1. This writ petition has been instituted seeking the following relief:

> *" to issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ order or direction, after calling for the records from the 3rd respondent pertaining to his proceedings Roc.No.A1/930/93 dt.11.9.98 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to absorb the petitioner in any one of the existing vacancies of the Attender Posts available in Chittoor District Scheduled Caste Co-Op. Society Ltd.,"*

2. Heard Sri Balaji, the learned counsel for the writ petitioner and Sri Gangaram, the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

3. The learned standing counsel has placed before me the proceedings bearing No. PRCC. ROC.No.A-1/930/93 dated 05-10-1998 passed by the Executive Director of the Society and it reads as follows:

> *" In view of the explanation offered by Smt.P.Ammulu, Daily Wage Attender, vide in the Ref. 2nd cited, for the notice issued vide in the Ref. 1st cited above, the notice issued vide Ref. 1st cited (A-1/930/93 dt.11.9.1998) above, is revoked.*

4. In view of the subsequent development, whereby the impugned order dated 11.9.1998 has been revoked, the cause in the writ petition does not survive any further. This apart, the learned counsel for the writ

petitioner had also pointed out that in yet another writ petition instituted by the very same writ petitioner in W.P.No.30235 of 1997, this court has already directed the respondents to consider the case of the writ petitioner for regular appointment and in terms thereof, the case of the writ petitioner has been already considered and she has been regularly appointed. In view of this subsequent development, the writ petition does not survive for further adjudication and accordingly it is dismissed without costs.

5. The third respondent was present in the Court pursuant to the orders passed by this Court on 16-07-2007 and his further presence being totally unnecessary in view of the final orders now passed, the order passed on 16-07-2007 is recalled.

_____

NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO,J

23-07-2007
Stp

T /,